November 9, 2016

On co-dimension two defect operators

Jiang Long[1]

Université Libre de Bruxelles and International Solvay Institutes
CP 231, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium

arXiv:1611.02485v1 [hep-th] 8 Nov 2016

Abstract

Conformal symmetry is broken by a flat or spherical defect operator $\mathcal{D}$. We show that this defect operator, may be identified as a pair of twist operators which are inserted at the tips of its causal diamond. Any $k-$point correlation function in a flat or spherical defect CFT is equivalent to a $(k+2)-$point correlation function. We reproduce one point correlation functions and also solve two point correlation functions in defect CFTs . Mutual Rényi entropy is computed and agrees with previous result in a certain limit. We conjecture there may be universal terms in general co-dimension two defect CFTs.

[1]e-mail: Jiang.Long@ulb.ac.be

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Defect CFT **4**

3 Defect operator $\mathcal{D}$ **6**
3.1 One point functions 6
3.2 Two point functions 8
3.3 General correlation functions 9
3.4 Multi-defects correlation functions 9

4 Operator product expansion of defect operator **10**

5 Applications **11**
5.1 Setup 12
5.2 General structure of mutual Rényi entropy 14
5.3 Free scalar theory 15
5.4 Holographic Rényi entropy 17

6 Conclusion and discussion **19**

A Conventions **21**

B Embedding space formalism **21**

C Differential operators **22**

D Relation between $c_{\Delta,J}$ and $a_{\Delta,J}$ **23**

E Generator of Modular Hamiltonian **24**

1 Introduction

All dynamical information of a conformal field theory are encoded in the coefficients of three point functions . The form of two and three point correlation functions are fixed by conformal symmetry. Higher point functions can be reduced to lower point functions by operator product expansion. The operator product expansion can be done in different channels, leading to crossing symmetry in four point correlation functions.

One can break conformal symmetry by inserting an extended defect operator $\mathcal{D}$ [1–6], see recent progress on defect CFTs [7–16]. A co-dimension q flat or spherical defect

operator is special as it preserves $SO(p+1,1)\times SO(q-1,1)$ symmetry[2] where $p+q=d$. In this case, one point function of any primary operator is completely fixed up to a constant while two point function of primary operators is constrained to be a summation of conformal partial waves.

Among all the flat or spherical defect operators, the co-dimension two operator ($q=2$) is especially interesting. It may have applications to Rényi entropy(and entanglement entropy) [17–20]. Rényi entropy is actually a partition function on a n replicated manifold. One divides the space into a region A and its complement A^c and glue different replicas along the boundary of A. Alternatively, one may introduce a co-dimension two defect operator to implement the replica trick. In two dimensions, the defect operator is a pair of twist operators which are inserted at the boundary of the interval A [21,22]. In higher dimensions, the defect operator may be a surface operator [23–25].

However, we will see that the flat or spherical defect operator may be identified as a pair of operators

$$\mathcal{D}=\tau(X)\tau(Y). \tag{1}$$

We will call τ a twist operator in reference to entanglement entropy. The two twist operators are inserted at the tips of the causal diamond of A. Figure 1 is an illustration of a spherical defect operator and its associated causal diamond. Suppose there is a $d-1$ dimensional ball A at constant time on flat spacetime. The spherical defect operator sits at the boundary of A which is a $d-2$ sphere. The defect operator $\mathcal{D}$ divides the space into A and its complement A^c. There is a causal diamond $D(A)$ of region A. The two twist operators sit at the tips of the causal diamond $D(A)$, in the figure, we denote them as point X and Y. One is in the future and the other one is in the past. This is the main geometric picture of a spherical defect operator.

(1) is an operator identity. Any k-point correlation function in a defect CFT will be a $(k+2)$-point correlation function in the vacuum,

$$<\mathcal{O}_1\cdots\mathcal{O}_k>_{\mathcal{D}}=\frac{<\mathcal{O}_1\cdots\mathcal{O}_k\tau(X)\tau(Y)>}{<\tau(X)\tau(Y)>}. \tag{2}$$

When $k=1$, the form on the left hand side of (2) is fixed by symmetry [13], the right hand side can also be fixed by conformal symmetry as it is a three point function. we checked that they lead to the same answer once we properly identify the coefficients in front of them. When $k=2$, the left hand side can be expanded into conformal partial waves which satisfy special equation of motions, the right hand side can also be expanded into conformal partial waves whose form can be fixed by the conformal Casimir equation [26, 27]. We checked that they can be mapped to each other after properly identify the conformal partial waves. For higher point correlation functions($k>2$), we can always use operator product expansion to reduces them to lower point correlation functions. Hence, (2) will be valid for arbitrary correlation functions.

[2]We will study Lorentz CFT in this work.



Figure 1: Causal diamond of a spherical defect.

When there are multi-defects in the CFT, the correlation function should be [3]

$$< \mathcal{O}_1 \cdots \mathcal{O}_k >_{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{< \mathcal{O}_1 \cdots \mathcal{O}_k \prod_{i=1}^{m} \tau(X_i)\tau(Y_i) >}{< \prod_{i=1}^{m} \tau(X_i)\tau(Y_i) >}. \tag{3}$$

We apply (3) to compute mutual Rényi entropy of two spherical region and find perfect agreement with previous paper [41, 44].

This paper is organized as follows. Section two is to review main results on flat or spherical defect operators which are fixed by symmetry. We will check the identity (1) in section three. We will study operator product expansion of defect operators $\mathcal{D}$ in section four. In section five, we will apply our formula to compute mutual Rényi entropy of a free theory and holographic CFTs. We will end with discussions and point out some future directions. Conventions and technical details are collected in the Appendices.

2 Defect CFT

This section is to collect useful results in defect CFTs [13]. A defect CFT is a CFT with defect operator $\mathcal{D}$ being inserted. Correlation functions of primary operators are defined as

$$< \mathcal{O}_1(x_1) \cdots \mathcal{O}_k(x_k) >_{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{< \mathcal{O}_1(x_1) \cdots \mathcal{O}_k(x_k)\mathcal{D} >}{< \mathcal{D} >}. \tag{4}$$

We will study flat or spherical defect CFTs. As we can always transform a flat defect to a spherical defect by conformal transformation, it is sufficient to show the results of

[3] We assume they are disjoint.

flat defect. We assume the defect sits at $t = 0, x^1 = 0$. A primary operator $\mathcal{O}$ sits at $x = (x^a, y^i)$ where $a = 0, 1$ label the transverse directions of the defect and $i = 2, \cdots, d-1$ label the parallel directions of the defect. The one point function of a primary operator can be fixed up to a constant, for example, a scalar primary operator

$$< \mathcal{O}(x) >_{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{a_{\Delta,0}}{|x|^{\Delta}}. \tag{5}$$

Δ is the conformal dimension of $\mathcal{O}$. $|x|$ is the norm of the transverse direction. The first subindex of $a_{\Delta,J}$ is the conformal dimension of operator $\mathcal{O}$, the second subindex is the spin of operator $\mathcal{O}$. For a spin two primary operator, its one point function is

$$\begin{aligned} < \mathcal{O}_{ab}(x) >_{\mathcal{D}} &= -a_{\Delta,2} \frac{(d-1)\eta_{ab} - d n_a n_b}{|x|^{\Delta}}, \\ < \mathcal{O}_{ai}(x) >_{\mathcal{D}} &= 0, \\ < \mathcal{O}_{ij}(x) >_{\mathcal{D}} &= a_{\Delta,2} \frac{\eta_{ij}}{|x|^{\Delta}}, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where n^a is an unit vector $n^a = \frac{x^a}{|x|}$. One point function of any even higher spin operators is also fixed up to a coefficient $a_{\Delta,J}$. It would be convenient to use embedding formalism to organise the result. In Appendix B we introduce this formalism and collect necessary results for interested reader. Curiously, for odd spin operator, one must introduce parity violating terms. For spin 1, the answer is

$$< \mathcal{O}_a >_{\mathcal{D}} = a_{\Delta,1} \frac{\epsilon_{ab} x^b}{|x|^{\Delta+1}}, \ < \mathcal{O}_i >_{\mathcal{D}} = 0 \tag{7}$$

where we introduced a parity violating ϵ tensor in orthogonal direction, $\epsilon_{01} = 1$.

Two point function of scalar primary operator can be written as the cross ratio ξ, ϕ as

$$< \mathcal{O}_1(x_1) \mathcal{O}_2(x_2) >_{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{f_{12}(\xi, \phi)}{\xi^{\frac{\Delta_1+\Delta_2}{2}} |x_1|^{\Delta_1} |x_2|^{\Delta_2}}, \tag{8}$$

where

$$\xi = \frac{x_{12}^2 + y_{12}^2}{|x_1||x_2|}, \ \cos\phi = \frac{x_1^a x_2^b \eta_{ab}}{|x_1||x_2|} \tag{9}$$

with

$$x_{12}^2 = \eta_{ab}(x_1^a - x_2^a)(x_1^b - x_2^b), \ y_{12}^2 = \eta_{ij}(y_1^i - y_2^i)(y_1^j - y_2^j). \tag{10}$$

The function $f_{12}(\xi, \phi)$ can be expanded in terms of CFT conformal partial waves,

$$f_{12}(\xi, \phi) = \sum_{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,J}} c_{12\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,J}} a_{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,J}} F_{\Delta,J}(\xi, \phi), \tag{11}$$

where $c_{12\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,J}}$ is three point function coefficient of $< \mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,J} >$ and $a_{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,J}}$ is the coefficient of one point function coefficient of $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,J}$ in defect CFT. The conformal block $F_{\Delta,J}(\xi,\phi)$ satisfy a second order differential equation [13]

$$\mathcal{D}_{bulk}F_{\Delta,J}(\xi,\phi)=0. \tag{12}$$

The explicit form of the differential operator $\mathcal{D}_{bulk}$ are collected in Appendix C.

3 Defect operator $\mathcal{D}$

In this section, we will study the defect operator $\mathcal{D}$. As we have mentioned briefly in the introduction, we expect $\mathcal{D}$ to be equivalent to a pair of twist operators

$$\mathcal{D}=\tau(X)\tau(Y) \tag{13}$$

For a flat defect which is placed at $t=0, x^1=0$, its associated causal diamond is $D(x<0)$[4]. The twist operators are placed at[5] $(T,-T)$ and $(-T,-T)$ with $T\to\infty$. The scaling dimension of the twist operator is δ.

3.1 One point functions

For a primary scalar operator $\mathcal{O}$ with dimension Δ, its one point function is

$$< \mathcal{O}(x) >_{\mathcal{D}}= \frac{< \mathcal{O}(x)\mathcal{D} >}{< \mathcal{D} >} = \lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{< \mathcal{O}(x)\tau(T,-T)\tau(-T,-T) >}{< \tau(T,-T)\tau(-T,-T) >} = \frac{c_{\mathcal{O}\tau\tau}}{|x|^{\Delta}}. \tag{14}$$

At the last step, we used the well known fact that three point function is fixed up to a constant. Note (14) is exactly (5) once we identify

$$c_{\mathcal{O}\tau\tau}=a_{\Delta,0}. \tag{15}$$

One can also check other spin operators.

It is curious to understand odd spin result. For $J=1$, our method would imply

$$< \mathcal{O}_\mu(x) >_{\mathcal{D}}= \lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{< \mathcal{O}_\mu(x)\tau(T,-T)\tau(-T,-T) >}{< \tau(T,-T)\tau(-T,-T) >} \tag{16}$$

The three point function of spin 1 vector and two primary operator is

$$< \mathcal{O}_\mu(x_3)\mathcal{O}(x_1)\mathcal{O}(x_2) >= \frac{c_{12\mathcal{O}_\mu}}{x_{12}^{\frac{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta+1}{2}}x_{23}^{\frac{\Delta_2+\Delta-1-\Delta_3}{2}}x_{31}^{\frac{\Delta-1+\Delta_1-\Delta_2}{2}}}(\frac{x_{31\mu}}{x_{31}^2}-\frac{x_{32\mu}}{x_{32}^2}). \tag{17}$$

[4]There is another causal diamond which is $D(x>0)$. As we are trying to study correlation functions with operator inserted at $x>0$, we use causal diamond $D(x<0)$ to avoid possible singularity.

[5]The parallel coordinates are irrelevant as we will set $T\to\infty$ finally.



Figure 2: Flat defect sits at $x = 0$. Two twist operators are inserted at $(T, -T)$ and $(-T, -T)$ with $T \to \infty$.

Substituting it to (16), we find

$$< \mathcal{O}_a(x) >_{\mathcal{D}} = a_{\Delta,1} \frac{\epsilon_{ab} x^b}{|x|^{\Delta+1}}, \ < \mathcal{O}_i(x) >_{\mathcal{D}} = 0. \tag{18}$$

We have redefined the three point function coefficient of $\mathcal{O}_\mu$ and twist operators to be $a_{\Delta,1}$. Note it matches with previous result. Interestingly, the parity violating term appears naturally. This method can be extended to higher spins. It is more convenient to use embedding formalism in this case. We list the results below while leaving the derivation to Appendix B.

$$< \mathcal{O}_{\Delta,J}(P, Z) >_{\mathcal{D}} = a_{\Delta,J} \frac{(\epsilon_{AB} Z^A P^B)^J}{(P \circ P)^{\frac{\Delta+J}{2}}}. \tag{19}$$

It matches known result of spin 0 and spin 1. For spin 2, we use the identity[6]

$$\epsilon_{AB} \epsilon_{CD} = -\eta_{AB} \eta_{CD} + \eta_{AC} \eta_{BD}. \tag{20}$$

Then

$$< \mathcal{O}_{\Delta,2}(P, Z) >_{\mathcal{D}} = a_{\Delta,2} \frac{Q_2}{(P \circ P)^{\frac{\Delta}{2}}}, \tag{21}$$

where Q_J is defined as

$$Q_J = (\frac{(P \circ Z)^2}{P \circ P} - Z \circ Z)^{\frac{J}{2}}. \tag{22}$$

[6]Remember we are in Lorenz CFT.

3.2 Two point functions

We use scalar operator to illustrate the result.

$$< \mathcal{O}_1(x_1)\mathcal{O}_2(x_2) >_{\mathcal{D}} = \lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{< \mathcal{O}_1(x_1)\mathcal{O}_2(x_2)\tau(T,-T)\tau(-T,-T) >}{< \tau(T,-T)\tau(-T,-T) >}, \tag{23}$$

Note the four point function on the right hand side can be expanded into conformal partial waves. There are two independent cross ratios,

$$u = -\frac{x_{12}^2 + y_{12}^2}{(x_1^1 + x_1^0)(x_2^1 - x_2^0)},\ v = \frac{(x_2^1 + x_2^0)(x_1^1 - x_1^0)}{(x_1^1 + x_1^0)(x_2^1 - x_2^0)} \tag{24}$$

They relate to the two cross ratios defined in the previous section by

$$\xi = -\frac{u}{\sqrt{v}},\ \cos\phi = \frac{1+v}{2\sqrt{v}}. \tag{25}$$

Then the two point function becomes

$$< \mathcal{O}_1(x_1)\mathcal{O}_2(x_2) >_{\mathcal{D}} = (\frac{x_2^1 - x_2^0}{x_1^1 - x_1^0})^{\frac{\Delta_1-\Delta_2}{2}} \frac{g(u,v)}{(x_{12}^2 + y_{12}^2)^{\frac{\Delta_1+\Delta_2}{2}}}. \tag{26}$$

$g(u,v)$ is related to $f(\xi,\phi)$ by the following relation

$$f(\xi,\phi) = g(u,v)v^{-\frac{\Delta_1-\Delta_2}{4}}. \tag{27}$$

Note $g(u,v)$ can be expanded in terms of conformal partial waves

$$g(u,v) = \sum_{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,J}} c_{12\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,J}} a_{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,J}} G_{\Delta,J}(u,v), \tag{28}$$

where we have defined conformal partial waves as $G_{\Delta,J}$. For example, in $d = 4$ [27],

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\Delta,J}(u,v) &= (-1)^J \frac{z\bar{z}}{z-\bar{z}}(k_{\Delta+J}(z)k_{\Delta-J-2}(\bar{z}) - (z \leftrightarrow \bar{z})) \\ k_a(z) &= z^a {}_2F_1(\frac{a-(\Delta_1-\Delta_2)}{2}, \frac{a+(\Delta_3-\Delta_4)}{2}, a, z). \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

z and $\bar{z}$ are related to u, v by

$$u = z\bar{z}, v = (1-z)(1-\bar{z}). \tag{30}$$

In our case, $\Delta_3 = \Delta_4 = \delta$. The conformal partial wave $G_{\Delta,J}$ is the solution of Casimir equation

$$D_{CFT} G_{\Delta,J}(u,v) = 0. \tag{31}$$

The explicit form of D_{CFT} is collected in Appendix C. Since $f(\xi,\phi)$ and $g(u,v)$ are related by (27), (12) and (31) should be equivalent to each other by the identification

$$F_{\Delta,J}(\xi,\phi)=G_{\Delta,J}(u,v)v^{-\frac{\Delta_1-\Delta_2}{4}}. \tag{32}$$

One can check this identity using the differential operator $\mathcal{D}_{bulk}$ and D_{CFT} in the appendix. In this way, the solution of (12) is (32).

In [13], the kinematic part of one point function and two point function (scalar) are determined by analyzing the symmetry constraints on the defect CFT. However, we find the same result by mapping the correlation function in the defect CFT to higher point function in the original CFT. The exact match from the two method should not be an accident, it strongly supports the identification (13).

3.3 General correlation functions

The obvious consequence of (13) is to map any k-point correlation function of a defect CFT to a $(k+2)$-point correlation function,

$$<\mathcal{O}_1(x_1)\cdots\mathcal{O}_k(x_k)>_{\mathcal{D}}=\frac{<\mathcal{O}_1(x_1)\cdots\mathcal{O}_k(x_k)\tau(X)\tau(Y)>}{<\tau(X)\tau(Y)>}. \tag{33}$$

The insert positions of the twist operators are at the tips of the causal diamond associated with the defect.

3.4 Multi-defects correlation functions

It is sufficient to study two defects case. We place two spherical defects at[7] $t=0,|(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_0)|=R$ and $t=0,|(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_0')|=R'$. We will assume the two defects are disjoint. One can use conformal symmetry to fix $\vec{x}_0=(0,\cdots,0)$ and $\vec{x}_0'=(1,0,\cdots,0)$ and $R'=R$. This configuration is shown in the Figure 3. The twist operators are inserted at

$$X=(R,0,\cdots,0),Y=(-R,0,\cdots,0),X'=(R,1,0,\cdots,0),Y'=(-R,1,0,\cdots,0).$$

Then any k-point correlation functions are

$$<\mathcal{O}_1(x_1)\cdots\mathcal{O}_k(x_k)>_{\mathcal{D}}=\frac{<\mathcal{O}_1(x_1)\cdots\mathcal{O}_k(x_k)\tau(X)\tau(Y)\tau(X')\tau(Y')>}{<\tau(X)\tau(Y)\tau(X')\tau(Y')>}. \tag{34}$$

Note the factor in the denominator is the partition function in the presence of defects. When we discuss Rényi entropy, it is related to Rényi entropy by

$$S_n=\frac{1}{1-n}\log<\tau(X)\tau(Y)\tau(X')\tau(Y')>. \tag{35}$$

[7]Note there is only one independent cross ratio in this case, one can generalize it to two independent cross ratios straightforwardly.



Figure 3: Two spherical defects.

4 Operator product expansion of defect operator

As we have shown, defect operator $\mathcal{D}$ is actually a pair of twist operators. So in principle it can be expanded as primary operators and their descendants. Assume all possible (quasi-)primary operators in the theory are collected in $\{O_{\Delta,J}\}$. Then $\mathcal{D}$ can be expanded as

$$\mathcal{D} = Z(\mathcal{D}) \sum_{\Delta,J} c_{\Delta,J}(O_{\Delta,J} + desendents). \tag{36}$$

The function $Z(\mathcal{D})$ is the expectation value of the defect,

$$Z(\mathcal{D}) =< \mathcal{D} > . \tag{37}$$

It is also the patition function of the defect CFT. The 'desendents' terms are fixed completely. Hence we can write the defect operators compactly as

$$\mathcal{D} = Z(\mathcal{D}) \sum_{\Delta,J} c_{\Delta,J} Q[O_{\Delta,J}]. \tag{38}$$

We normalize the coefficient before identity operator to be 1. All other coefficients $c_{\Delta,J}$ are fixed by one point function of its corresponding operator $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,J}$ hence it should be propotional to $a_{\Delta,J}$. The operator $Q(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,J})$ can be fixed by shadow formalism [28–32]. For a flat defect operator which sits at $t = 0, x^1 = 0$, the operator $Q(\mathcal{O})$ is[8]

$$Q(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,J}) = \int_{D(x^1<0)} d^d\xi K^{\mu_1} \cdots K^{\mu_J} |K|^{\Delta-J-d} O_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_J}. \tag{39}$$

[8]Interestingly, similar operators also appear in other context [33–35]

Here $\mathcal{O}_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_J}$ is a general symmetric traceless operator[9] with dimension Δ and spin J. Vector K is

$$K = x^1\partial_t + t\partial_{x^1}. \tag{40}$$

Interestingly it is the modular Hamiltonian generator in the field of entanglement entropy. The integral region is over the causal diamond of flat defect. We can fix the relation between $c_{\Delta,J}$ and $a_{\Delta,J}$ by one point correlation functions [10]

$$\begin{aligned} < O_\Delta >_{\mathcal{D}} &= c_\Delta \int_{D(x^1<0)} d^d\xi (x'^2 - t'^2)^{\frac{\Delta-d}{2}} < \mathcal{O}(x)\mathcal{O}(\xi) > \\ &= c_\Delta N_\Delta \frac{\pi^{\frac{d-2}{2}}\Gamma[\Delta - \frac{d-2}{2}]}{2\Gamma[\Delta]} \int_0^\infty du'dv' \frac{(u'v')^{\frac{\Delta-d}{2}}}{((u-u')(v-v'))^{\Delta-\frac{d-2}{2}}} \\ &= c_\Delta N_\Delta \frac{\pi^{\frac{d-2}{2}}\Gamma[\Delta - \frac{d-2}{2}]}{2\Gamma[\Delta]} |\frac{\Gamma[\frac{\Delta}{2}]\Gamma[\frac{\Delta-d+2}{2}]}{\Gamma[\Delta-\frac{d}{2}+1]}|^2 \frac{1}{|x|^\Delta} \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

where we have defined[11] $u = x + t, v = x - t, |x|^2 = uv$. N_Δ is the normalization of the two point function of the operator $\mathcal{O}_\Delta$. Comparing (5)and (41), we find the identification of c_Δ and a_Δ up to some constant coefficient and normalization factor

$$a_{\Delta,0} = c_\Delta N_\Delta \frac{\pi^{\frac{d-2}{2}}}{2\Gamma[\Delta]} \frac{\Gamma[\frac{\Delta}{2}]^2\Gamma[\frac{\Delta-d+2}{2}]^2}{\Gamma[\Delta-\frac{d}{2}+1]}. \tag{42}$$

At first glance, we meet a problem. For a unitary conformal field theory, the conformal dimension of a scalar primary operator has a lower bound [36],

$$\Delta \geq \frac{d-2}{2}. \tag{43}$$

That means for some operators with dimension $\frac{d-2}{2} \leq \Delta \leq d-2$, $a_{\Delta,0}$ or $c_{\Delta,0}$ should be ill defined since $\Gamma(x)$ function is divergent when x is a non positive integer. We can cure this problem by absorbing possible divergence into the definition of $Q(\mathcal{O})$. We also checked that the coefficient $c_{\Delta,0}$ is the same for flat and spherical defect. Note for spherical defect, the vector K is not (40), its form can be found in Appendix E. Again, it is the modular Hamiltonian generator of spherical region in entanglement entropy.

5 Applications

In this section we try to compute mutual Rényi entropy of two spherical region for a free scalar theory and holographic CFTs.

[9] There may be operators with mixed symmetry in the theory. We ignore them in this paper. It would be interesting to include them into the story as they always appear in a general theory.

[10] Interesting reader can find the case of spin 1 and spin 2 in Appendix D.

[11] Don't be confused with the cross ratio defined in the previous section.

5.1 Setup

The system is in vacuum $|0>$, its density matrix is $\rho = |0><0|$. We choose a spatial region A at a constant time slice, its complement is denoted as A^c. Then one can integrate out the degree of freedom in region A^c to find a reduced density matrix ρ_A,

$$\rho_A = tr_A \rho \tag{44}$$

Renyi entropy is defined as

$$S_A^{(n)} = \frac{\log tr \rho_A^n}{1-n}. \tag{45}$$

Entanglement entropy between A and A^c is the $n \to 1$ limit of Rényi entropy,

$$S_A^{EE} = \lim_{n \to 1} S_A^{(n)}. \tag{46}$$

Rényi entropy(and entanglement entropy) satisfy area law,

$$S_A^{(n)} = \gamma \frac{Area(\partial A)}{\epsilon^{d-2}} + \cdots \tag{47}$$

where ϵ is UV cutoff of the theory. The coefficient γ is not universal. Universal information are encoded in the logrithmic or constant terms. For example, in any two dimensional CFTs, one interval Rényi entropy is

$$S_{\Delta x}^{(n)} = \frac{c}{6}(1 + \frac{1}{n}) \log \frac{|\Delta x|}{\epsilon}, \tag{48}$$

where Δx is the length of the interval, c is the central charge of the CFT which is invariant under rescaling of the cutoff. In four dimensional CFT, the universal terms of Renyi entropy are expected to be [37]

$$S_A^{(n)} = \cdots + (-\frac{f_a(n)}{2\pi} \int_{\partial A} R_{\partial A} - \frac{f_b(n)}{2\pi} \int_{\partial A} (\tilde{K}_{ij}^a)^2 - \frac{f_c(n)}{2\pi} \int_{\partial A} C_{ab}^{ab}) \log R/\epsilon, \tag{49}$$

Where $R_{\partial A}$ is the Ricci scalar of the boundary of A, $\tilde{K}_{ij}^a$ is the traceless extrinsic curvature in the transverse direction x^a, C_{ab}^{ab} is the projected Weyl tensor in the orthogonal direction of the boundary of A, R is a characteristic size of region A.

When A is the union of two (or more) disjoint regions,

$$A = A_1 \cup A_2, A_1 \cap A_2 = \phi, \tag{50}$$

one can define a finite quantity

$$I_{A_1,A_2}^{(n)} = S_{A_1}^{(n)} + S_{A_2}^{(n)} - S_{A_1 \cup A_2}^{(n)} \tag{51}$$

which is called (n-th) mutual Rényi entropy.

Let's begin with one spherical region A,

$$A = \{t = 0, \vec{x}^2 = R^2\}. \tag{52}$$

As we have mentioned in previous sections, the Rényi entropy can be computed by inserting two twist operators at $X = (R, 0, \cdots, 0)$ and $Y = (-R, 0, \cdots, 0)$

$$S_A^{(n)} = \frac{1}{1-n} \log < \mathcal{D} >= \frac{1}{1-n} \log < \tau(X)\tau(Y) >= \frac{1}{1-n} \log N_\tau + \frac{-2\delta}{1-n} \log 2R/\epsilon. \tag{53}$$

N_τ is the normalization of two point function of twist operators. In the last step we have used the fact that the dimension of the twist operator is δ and inserted a UV cutoff ϵ. For $d = 2$,

$$\delta = \frac{c}{12}(n - \frac{1}{n}). \tag{54}$$

This is well known in two dimensional CFTs. For $d = 4$, the second and third term in (49) are zero while the first term contributes

$$S_A^{(n)} = -4 f_a(n) \log R/\epsilon. \tag{55}$$

So the scaling dimension of the twist operator is

$$\delta = 2(1-n) f_a(n). \tag{56}$$

Unfortunately, our definition of dimension of twist operator is not in agreement with [24]. In that paper, the conformal dimension of the twist operator is defined by one point function of the stress tensor. Two definitions match in $d = 2$ and doesn't match in higher dimensions. However, from the general discussion of defect operators, (56) may be the correct definition of the dimension of twist operator in four dimension . The conformal dimension defined in [24] may be interpreted as the three point function coefficient of stress tensor and two twist operators. However, in even dimensions, they are actually related to each other [38].

In odd dimensions, there maybe no $\log R/\epsilon$ universal terms in Renyi entropy. So we may conclude

$$\delta = 0, \quad for \quad d \quad odd. \tag{57}$$

There is a constant universal term in odd dimensions,

$$S_A^{(n)} = \cdots + q_n. \tag{58}$$

This term can be reproduced from the normalization constant N_τ.

5.2 General structure of mutual Rényi entropy

Let us assume region A_1 and A_2 are

$$A_1 = \{t = 0, \vec{x}^2 \leq R^2\}, A_2 = \{t = 0, (\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0)^2 \leq R'^2\} \tag{59}$$

We can use conformal symmetry to set $R' = R$ and $\vec{x}_0 = (1, 0, \cdots, 0)$. In this case, there is only one independent cross ratio

$$z = 4R^2 = \bar{z},\ u = z\bar{z},\ v = (1 - z)(1 - \bar{z}). \tag{60}$$

The Rényi entropy is

$$S^{(n)}_{A_1 \cup A_2} = \frac{1}{1 - n} \log < \mathcal{D}_{A_1 \cup A_2} > . \tag{61}$$

The expectation value of twist operator is

$$\frac{< \mathcal{D}_{A_1 \cup A_2} >}{< \mathcal{D}_{A_1} >< \mathcal{D}_{A_2} >} = \sum_{\Delta, J} c^2_{\Delta, J} < Q^{A_1}_{\Delta, J} Q^{A_2}_{\Delta, J} > \sim \sum_{\Delta, J} \frac{a^2_{\Delta, J}}{N_{\Delta, J}} G_{\Delta, J}(u, v). \tag{62}$$

The building block of mutual Renyi entropy would be the following block

$$< Q^{A_1}_{\Delta, J} Q^{A_2}_{\Delta, J} > . \tag{63}$$

We already know the answer should be a conformal partial wave with dimension Δ and spin J

$$< Q^{A_1}_{\Delta, J} Q^{A_2}_{\Delta, J} > \sim G_{\Delta, J}(u, v). \tag{64}$$

What is left is to fix the total coefficient appeared before (64).

The contribution of a scalar operator with dimension Δ is

$$\begin{aligned} < Q^{A_1}_{\Delta, J=0} Q^{A_2}_{\Delta, J=0} > \ &= \ N_{\Delta, 0} (\frac{R}{2})^{2\Delta} \int d\xi d\bar{\xi} d\xi' d\bar{\xi}' d\Omega_{d-2} d\Omega'_{d-2} |\xi + \bar{\xi}|^{d-2} |\xi' + \bar{\xi}'|^{d-2} \times \\ & \frac{((1 - \xi^2)(1 - \bar{\xi}^2)(1 - \xi'^2)(1 - \bar{\xi}'^2))^{\frac{\Delta - d}{2}}}{(R\frac{\xi + \bar{\xi}}{2}\vec{\omega} - R\frac{\xi' + \bar{\xi}'}{2}\vec{\omega}' - \vec{x}_0)^2 - (\frac{\xi - \bar{\xi}}{2} - \frac{\xi' - \bar{\xi}'}{2})^2 R^2)^{\Delta}} \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

It should be a conformal partial wave of a scalar operator in d dimension. We choose $d = 4$ as an example. In this case,

$$< Q^{A}_{\Delta, J=0} Q^{B}_{\Delta, J=0} > = N_{\Delta, 0} \frac{\pi^4 2^{4-4\Delta} \Gamma[\frac{\Delta}{2} - 1]^4}{\Gamma[\frac{\Delta - 1}{2}]^2 \Gamma[\frac{\Delta + 1}{2}]^2} G_{\Delta, 0}(u, v). \tag{66}$$

Note when $z=\bar{z}$, the conformal partial wave is[12]

$$\begin{aligned}G_{\Delta,J}(u,v) &= (-1)^J\frac{z^\Delta}{4}(z(2+J-\Delta)_2F_1(\frac{\Delta-J}{2},\frac{\Delta-J}{2},\Delta-J-1,z)\\ &\times{}_2F_1(\frac{\Delta+J}{2},\frac{\Delta+J}{2},\Delta+J,z)\\ &+4(J+1)_2F_1(\frac{\Delta-J-2}{2},\frac{\Delta-J-2}{2},\Delta-J-2,z)_2F_1(\frac{\Delta+J}{2},\frac{\Delta+J}{2},\Delta+J,z)\\ &+z(\Delta+J)_2F_1(\frac{\Delta-J-2}{2},\frac{\Delta-J-2}{2},\Delta-J-2,z)\\ &\times{}_2F_1(\frac{\Delta+J+2}{2},\frac{\Delta+J+2}{2},\Delta+J+1,z)).\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

Combining the relation between $c_{\Delta,0}$ and $a_{\Delta,0}$, we find

$$c^2_{\Delta,0}<Q^{A_1}_{\Delta,0}Q^{A_2}_{\Delta,0}>=\frac{a^2_{\Delta,0}}{N_{\Delta,0}}G_{\Delta,0}(u,v). \tag{68}$$

In the same way, we find

$$c^2_{\Delta,1}<Q^{A_1}_{\Delta,1}Q^{A_2}_{\Delta,1}>=\frac{a^2_{\Delta,1}}{2N_{\Delta,1}}G_{\Delta,1}(u,v), \tag{69}$$

$$c^2_{\Delta,2}<Q^{A_1}_{\Delta,J=2}Q^{A_2}_{\Delta,J=2}>=\frac{4a^2_{\Delta,2}}{N_{\Delta,2}}G_{\Delta,2}(u,v). \tag{70}$$

Hence the mutual Rényi entropy of two spherical region is

$$I^{(n)}_{A_1,A_2}=-\frac{1}{1-n}\log(1+\sum_\Delta(s_{\Delta,0}G_{\Delta,0}+s_{\Delta,1}G_{\Delta,1}+s_{\Delta,2}G_{\Delta,2}+\cdots)). \tag{71}$$

where $s_{\Delta,0}=\sum_{\mathcal{O}}\frac{a^2_{\mathcal{O}}}{N_{\mathcal{O}}}$ is to sum over the contributions of all possible scalar operators with dimension Δ. The generalization to other dimensions is straightforward. In our case, $\bar{z}=z$, the conformal partial waves can be found in [39] for general dimensions.

5.3 Free scalar theory

Let us consider the lowest dimension operators at first. They are

$$\mathcal{O}=\phi^2,\ \mathcal{O}_{ij}=\phi_i\phi_j(i\neq j). \tag{72}$$

[12]This can be obtained by taking $\bar{z}\to z$ limit of general conformal partial waves.

We assume the normalization of two point function is 1,

$$< \phi(x)\phi(y) >= \frac{1}{(x-y)^{d-2}}. \tag{73}$$

The position x, x' can be[13]

$$x = (r, \theta, y^i), x' = (r', 0, \cdots, 0). \tag{74}$$

In $d = 4$, one can find [42, 43]

$$< \phi(x)\phi(x') >_n = \frac{\sinh \frac{\eta}{n}}{2nrr' \sinh \eta (\cosh \frac{\eta}{n} - \cos \frac{\theta}{n})}. \tag{75}$$

The function η is defined as

$$\cosh \eta = \frac{r^2 + r'^2 + y^2}{2rr'}. \tag{76}$$

By symmetry, the one point function of $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{ij}$ should be

$$< \phi^2 >_n = \frac{a_{\phi^2}}{r^2}, \ < \phi_i \phi_j >_n = \frac{a_{ij}}{r^2}. \tag{77}$$

To compute the coefficients a_{ϕ^2} and a_{ij}, one can set $y = 0$ and $r' = r$ in (75) and read out small θ limit terms.The coefficients $a_{\mathcal{O}}$ and a_{ij}

$$a = \frac{1 - n^2}{12n^2}, a_{ij} = \frac{1}{4n^2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta_{ij}}{2n}} \tag{78}$$

where $\theta_{ij} = 2\pi(i - j)$. The normalization factor of ϕ^2 and $\phi_i \phi_j$ are

$$N_{\phi^2} = 2, \ N_{\phi_i \phi_j} = 1. \tag{79}$$

Then

$$s_{\Delta=2, J=0} = \frac{n a_{\phi^2}^2}{2} + \sum_{j_1 > j_2} a_{j_1 j_2}^2 = \frac{n^4 - 1}{240 n^3}. \tag{80}$$

Mutual Rényi entropy from lowest dimension operator

$$I_{A_1, A_2}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{n-1} \log(1 + \frac{n^4 - 1}{240 n^3} G_{\Delta=2, J=0}(u, v) + \cdots). \tag{81}$$

[13] r is radial direction in the orthogonal plane and θ is angular direction. We have switched to Euclidian signature in this section.

$G_{\Delta,J}$ is defined in (67). Note when the two region A_1 and A_2 are far away to each other, $z \to 0$, then the leading contribution is from lowest dimension operator, in this limit

$$I^{(n)}_{A_1,A_2} = \frac{(n+1)(n^2+1)}{240n^3} z^2 + \cdots \tag{82}$$

This is exactly those found in [40,41]. At next order, we must consider the spin 1 operator

$$J^{(ij)}_\mu = \phi_i \partial_\mu \phi_j - (i \leftrightarrow j),\ i > j \tag{83}$$

We can also read out the expectation value of $J^{(ij)}_\mu$,

$$< J^{(ij)}_a >_n = a^{(ij)}_J \frac{\epsilon_{ab} x^b}{|x|^4},\ < J^{(ij)}_k >_n = 0. \tag{84}$$

where

$$a^{(ij)}_J = \frac{\cos\frac{\theta_{ij}}{2n}}{2n^3 \sin^3\frac{\theta_{ij}}{2n}}. \tag{85}$$

Normalization factor

$$N_J = 4 \tag{86}$$

can be read from two point function

$$< J^{(ij)}_\mu(x) J^{(ij)}_\nu(x') >= 4 \frac{\eta_{\mu\nu} - 2\frac{(x-x')_\mu (x-x.)_\nu}{|x-x'|^2}}{|x-x'|^6}. \tag{87}$$

So

$$s_{\Delta=3,J=1} = \sum_{i>j} \frac{(a^{(ij)}_J)^2}{2N_J} = \frac{n^6 - 21n^2 + 20}{30240n^5}. \tag{88}$$

After including spin 1 operators, the mutual Rényi entropy becomes

$$I^{(n)}_{A_1,A_2} = \frac{1}{n-1} \log(1 + \frac{n^4-1}{240n^3} G_{\Delta=2,J=0}(u,v) + \frac{n^6-21n^2+20}{30240n^5} G_{\Delta=3,J=1}(u,v) + \cdots) \tag{89}$$

In the limit of small cross ratio, z^2 and z^3 terms are exact.

5.4 Holographic Rényi entropy

In a holographic CFT which is dual to Einstein gravity, the operators appear in the dual CFT is just the stress tensor and its composites. The lowest dimension operator appear in the theory is the stress tensor whose one point function is

$$< T_{ab} >_n = \frac{h_n}{2\pi} \frac{(d-1)\delta_{ab} - d\frac{x_a x_b}{x^2}}{|x|^d},\ < T_{ij} >_n = -\frac{h_n}{2\pi} \frac{\delta_{ij}}{|x|^d} \tag{90}$$

For Einstein gravity, we have[14] [24]

$$h_n = \frac{1}{8G} x_n^{d-2}(1-x_n^2),\ x_n = \frac{1}{nd}(1+\sqrt{1-2dn^2+d^2n^2}),\ N_T = C_T = \frac{1}{8\pi G}\frac{\Gamma[d+2]}{\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}(d-1)\Gamma[\frac{d}{2}]}. \tag{91}$$

Now in $d=4$, we get the mutual Rényi entropy

$$I^{(n)}_{A_1,A_2} = \frac{1}{n-1}\log(1+n\times\frac{4(-\frac{h_n}{2\pi})^2}{N_T}G_{\Delta=4,J=2}+\cdots). \tag{92}$$

In the small cross ratio limit, we can expand it in terms of small z,

$$I^{(n)}_{A_1,A_2} = \frac{n}{n-1}\frac{C_T\pi^4 x_n^4(1-x_n^2)^2}{1600}(3z^4+6z^5+\frac{60z^6}{7}+\frac{75z^7}{7})+\mathcal{O}(z^8). \tag{93}$$

Note at $\mathcal{O}(z^8)$, there may contribute from T^2, so mutual Rényi entropy will be modified from this order.

More interesting, if we assume all other operators don't contribute at order $\mathcal{O}(n-1)$

$$\begin{aligned} I^{(n)}_{A_1,A_2} &= \frac{\pi^4 C_T(n-1)}{3600}G_{\Delta=4,J=2}+\mathcal{O}(n-1)^2 \\ &= \frac{\pi^4 C_T(n-1)}{120}(11+\frac{1}{1-z}-z-\frac{6(z-2)\log(1-z)}{z})+\mathcal{O}(n-1)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{94}$$

This agrees with [44]. We checked that the incomplete Beta function is indeed a conformal partial wave with $\Delta=4, J=2$.

For general d, if we normalize the behaviour of the conformal partial wave[15]

$$G^{(d)}_{\Delta,2} = z^{\Delta}+\cdots \tag{95}$$

in the small z limit, then we find

$$I^{(n)}_{A_1,A_2} = \frac{1}{n-1}\log(1+d(d-1)n\frac{a_T^2}{N_T}G^{(d)}_{\Delta=d,J=2}+\cdots) \tag{96}$$

The result is exact up to order z^{2d}. Again,

$$I^{(n)}_{A_1,A_2} = \frac{C_T d(d-1)\pi^d\Gamma[\frac{d}{2}]^2}{\Gamma[d+2]^2}(n-1)G^{(d)}_{\Delta=d,J=2}(z)+\mathcal{O}(n-1)^2 \tag{97}$$

It agrees with computation from gravity side [44].

[14] Here $T_{\mu\nu}$ is a single sheet stress tensor.

[15] There is a normalization factor 3 compare to $d=4$ conformal partial wave we used above.

6 Conclusion and discussion

In this work, we studied flat or spherical co-dimension two defect operator $\mathcal{D}$. We conjecture that it is a pair of twist operators which are inserted at the tips of its causal diamond. In this way, we can map any k-point correlation function in defect CFT to a $(k+2)$-correlation function. Especially, one point correlation function of a primary operator is completely fixed up to a constant which can be interpreted as a three point correlation function coefficient of the operator and two twist operators. We reproduce known even spin results of previous paper. We also find one point correlation function of odd spin . The spin 1 case matches with previous work. As far as we know, odd higher spin results are new. Interestingly, parity violating term appears naturally in this method. The parity violating term may appear even though the original CFT is parity invariant as we have shown for free scalar theory. When we apply it to Rényi entropy, we give a description of scaling dimension to twist operator. In our description, scaling dimension of the twist operator is related to the universal terms of Rényi entropy in even dimensions. In odd dimensions, the scaling dimension of the twist operator is zero. The conformal dimension defined in [24] can be naturally interpreted as the three point function coefficient between stress tensor and two twist operators.

Two point functions of defect CFT are more intriguing. They can be mapped to four point correlation functions. So it can be expanded in terms of conformal partial waves. In this way, we quickly read out the solution of the differential equation which is imposed by symmetry constraints. The same method goes through to other tensor fields.

When there are multi-defects, we should insert a pair of twist operators for each defect. We apply this idea to compute mutual Rényi entropy of two spherical region. Interestingly, this mutual Rényi entropy is essentially four point function of twist operators. So it can also be expanded as conformal partial waves. One just need to fix the one point function for each primary operator in the defect CFT. For 4d free scalar theory, we reproduce the result of [41] in the small cross ratio limit. We also obtain subleading terms by including the contribution of spin 1 operators. For holographic CFTs, we reproduce the result of [44] in $(n-1)$ expansion in general dimensions. In the limit of small cross ratios, the leading d terms are exact. These results strongly support the idea of inserting twist operators. It provides a unified way to compute multi spherical region Rényi entropy in arbitrary dimensions.

In the following, we will discuss some problems which may be further explored.

- Non-universal and universal terms. We have shown that for $k > 0$, the correlation functions can be maped to $(k+2)$-point correlation functions. For $k = 0$, one should expect

$$\log Z(\mathcal{D}) = \log < \tau(X)\tau(Y) > . \tag{98}$$

  To apply it to Rényi entropy, we split the Rényi entropy by universal and non-

universal terms in even dimension, then

$$S_A^{(n)} = S_{non-uni} + s_{uni} \log R/\epsilon. \tag{99}$$

To match (98) and (99), we find

$$N_\tau = e^{(1-n)S_{non-uni}},\ \delta = -\frac{(1-n)s_{uni}}{2}. \tag{100}$$

Area terms(and non-universal subleading terms) can be absorbed into the normalization of two point function of twist operator. In odd dimensions, we have

$$S_A^{(n)} = S_{non-uni} + q_n, \tag{101}$$

where q_n is universal which is invariant under scaling of the cutoff. In this case,

$$N_\tau = e^{(1-n)S_A^{(n)}},\ \delta = 0. \tag{102}$$

From the point of view of twist operator, the appearance of the universal term is inevitable once the scaling dimension of the twist operator is non-zero. When the scaling dimension of the twist operator is zero, there may be universal terms which is encoded in the normalization factor N_τ. We will conjecture there may be universal terms in general defect CFTs. It would be nice to check this point in other explicit examples.

- Two dimensions. We noticed that in $d = 2$, the twist operators are inserted at the boundary of the interval. In our case, the twist operator should be inserted at the tips of the causal diamond of the interval. We argue that there is no contradiction between the two different descriptions. To see it, the causal diamond is actually the same for the two descriptions.

- Defect channel partial waves. The bulk channel correlation functions can be obtained by inserting twist operators. However, in [13], two bulk operator correlation functions can also be expanded in terms of defect channel partial waves. There will be infinite number of equalities for three point function coefficients between different channels. It seems to be possible to fix all coefficients of defect channel in terms of bulk channel information.

- Displacement operator. We only consider flat or spherical defect operator in this work, a general co-dimension two defect operator can be obtained by inserting displacement operator. Some results have been obtained [45, 46]. It would be interesting to seek how to define twist operators in general situations.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Bin Chen, Geoffrey Compère and Marco Meineri for their comments on the manuscript. This work is supported by the ERC Starting Grant 335146 "HoloBHC".

A Conventions

In this paper, $\mu, \nu, \cdots$ are spacetime coordinates. For co-dimension two defects, we split the coordinate to the direction which is parallel to the defect and orthogonal to the defect. $i, j, \cdots$ are the direction which is parallel to the defect. $a, b, \cdots$ are the direction which is orthogonal to the defect. In the same way, the metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ splits to η_{ab} and η_{ij}. When we need to distinguish time and spatial direction, t is time direction and $\vec{x}$ are the $d-1$ spatial direction. In the embedding space formalism, the coordinate index is labeled by capital $M, N, \cdots$.

B Embedding space formalism

This formalism is especially powerful when we study tensor like operators. In this formalism, we map any point x in CFT_d to a light cone of $d+2$ dimensional Minkowski spacetime. A point P in the Poincare section is

$$P = (1, x^2, x^\mu). \tag{103}$$

We use the light cone coordinates, in other words

$$P^2 = -P^+P^- + \eta_{\mu\nu}P^2. \tag{104}$$

More generally, we define a scalar product as

$$P_1 \cdot P_2 = P_1^M P_2^N \eta_{MN}. \tag{105}$$

A primary symmetric traceless tensor $\mathcal{O}_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_J}$ in the original CFT is mapped to a symmetric traceless and transverse tensor $\mathcal{O}_{M_1\cdots M_J}$ with degree $-\Delta$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_J} &= \pi^{M_1\cdots M_J}_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_J}\mathcal{O}_{M_1\cdots M_J}, \\ P^{M_1}\mathcal{O}_{M_1\cdots M_J} &= 0, \qquad (106) \\ \mathcal{O}_{M_1\cdots M_J}(\lambda P) &= \lambda^{-\Delta}\mathcal{O}_{M_1\cdots M_J}(P). \qquad (107) \end{aligned}$$

The projector

$$\pi^{M_1\cdots M_J}_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_J} = \frac{\partial P^{M_1}}{\partial x^{\mu_1}}\cdots\frac{\partial P^{M_J}}{\partial x^{\mu_J}}. \tag{108}$$

To avoid the index structure of the operator, we uplift it to a polynomial of Z,

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,J}(P,Z)=\mathcal{O}_{M_1\cdots M_J}(P)Z^{M_1}\cdots Z^{M_J},Z^2=0. \tag{109}$$

For a flat defect which sits at $t=0,x^1=0$, we may split the index

$$M=(A,I) \tag{110}$$

where $A=0,1$ and $I=+,-,2,\cdots,d-1$, the metric η_{MN} split to η_{AB} and η_{IJ}. Two scalar quantities can be defined as

$$P_1\bullet P_2=\eta_{IJ}P_1^IP_2^J,\ P_1\circ P_2=\eta_{AB}P_1^AP_2^B. \tag{111}$$

We will also embed the twist operators into $d+2$ dimensions. They are inserted at

$$X=(1,0,T,-T,\cdots),\ Y=(1,0,-T,-T,\cdots). \tag{112}$$

The $\cdots$ terms are not important as $T\to\infty$ in the end. Three point function of an spin J with two other scalar operator is

$$<\mathcal{O}_1(P_1)\mathcal{O}_2(P_2)\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,J}(P,Z)>=\frac{c_{12,\{\Delta,J\}}}{(P_1\cdot P_2)^{\frac{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta-J}{2}}(P_2\cdot P)^{\frac{\Delta_2+\Delta-J-\Delta_1}{2}}(P\cdot P_1)^{\frac{\Delta+\Delta_1-\Delta_2-J}{2}}}\mathcal{X}_{12}(P,Z)^J \tag{113}$$

where

$$\mathcal{X}_{12}(P,Z)=\frac{P_1\cdot Z}{P_1\cdot P}-\frac{P_2\cdot Z}{P_2\cdot P}. \tag{114}$$

According our conjecture, after some simple algebra, we find

$$<\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,J}(P,Z)>_{\mathcal{D}}=a_{\Delta,J}\frac{(\epsilon_{AB}Z^AP^B)^J}{(P\circ P)^{\frac{\Delta+J}{2}}}. \tag{115}$$

where we redefine the total coefficient to be $a_{\Delta,J}$. We also defined an epsilon tensor with $\epsilon_{01}=1$. The appearance of $\circ$ product and ϵ_{AB} is due to the fact that only the orthogonal directions are relevant in the $T\to\infty$ limit. Note for even spins, we can contract two ϵ tensors to find the same answer as [13].

C Differential operators

The differential operator in (12) is

$$\begin{aligned}D_{bulk}\ =\ & 2\xi^2(2+\xi\cos\phi+2\cos^2\phi)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\xi^2}+2\sin^2\phi(2\sin^2\phi-\xi\cos\phi)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\cos\phi^2}\\ & -4\xi\sin^2\phi(\xi+2\cos\phi)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\xi\partial\cos\phi}+2\xi(2(1+\cos^2\phi)-(2d-\xi\cos\phi))\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\\ & (2\xi\cos^2\phi-4\cos\phi\sin^2\phi)\frac{\partial}{\partial\cos\phi}-(\Delta_{12}^2\cos\phi(\cos\phi+\frac{\xi}{2})-\Delta_{12}^2+2C_{\Delta,J}),\end{aligned} \tag{116}$$

where $\Delta_{12}=\Delta_1-\Delta_2$, $C_{\Delta,J}=\Delta(\Delta-d)+J(J+d-2)$ is eigenvalue of $so(d,2)$ Casimir operator. The differential operator in (31) is

$$\begin{aligned} D_{CFT} &= -2((1-v)^2-u(1+v))\frac{\partial}{\partial v}v\frac{\partial}{\partial v}-2u(1-u+v)\frac{\partial}{\partial u}u\frac{\partial}{\partial u}+4uv(1+u-v)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u\partial v} \\ &\quad +2du\frac{\partial}{\partial u}-\Delta_{12}((1+u-v)(u\frac{\partial}{\partial u}+v\frac{\partial}{\partial v})-(1-u-v)\frac{\partial}{\partial v}+C_{\Delta,J}. \end{aligned} \tag{117}$$

One can directly check the following equivalence

$$D_{bulk}F_{\Delta,J}=0\leftrightarrow D_{CFT}G_{\Delta,J}=0 \tag{118}$$

when using (25) and (27).

D Relation between $c_{\Delta,J}$ and $a_{\Delta,J}$

In section 4 we constructed the operator product expansion of defect operator $\mathcal{D}$. We have mentioned that the normalization constant $c_{\Delta,J}$ is proportional to $a_{\Delta,J}$. In this appendix, we will determine the proportional constant for spin 1 and spin 2.

$$\begin{aligned} <\mathcal{O}^a>_{\mathcal{D}} &= c_{\Delta,1}\int_{D(x^1<0)}d^d\xi|K'|^{\Delta-d-1}K'^{\nu}<\mathcal{O}^a(x)\mathcal{O}_\nu(x')> \\ &= c_{\Delta,1}N_{\Delta,1}\frac{\pi^{\frac{d-2}{2}}\Gamma[\frac{\Delta+1}{2}]^2\Gamma[\frac{\Delta-d+1}{2}]\Gamma[\frac{\Delta-d+3}{2}]}{\Gamma[1+\Delta]\Gamma[\Delta-\frac{d}{2}+1]}\frac{\epsilon^{ab}x_b}{|x|^{\Delta+1}}, \end{aligned} \tag{119}$$

$$<\mathcal{O}^i>_{\mathcal{D}} = 0. \tag{120}$$

Then we find

$$a_{\Delta,1}=c_{\Delta,1}N_{\Delta,1}\frac{\pi^{\frac{d-2}{2}}\Gamma[\frac{\Delta+1}{2}]^2\Gamma[\frac{\Delta-d+1}{2}]\Gamma[\frac{\Delta-d+3}{2}]}{\Gamma[1+\Delta]\Gamma[\Delta-\frac{d}{2}+1]} \tag{121}$$

for spin 1 operator. To study spin 2 operator, we can just compute the components with parallel direction as all other components are completely fixed by it.

$$<\mathcal{O}_{ij}>_{\mathcal{D}}=c_{\Delta,2}N_{\Delta,2}\frac{\pi^{\frac{d-2}{2}}\Delta(\Delta-d+1)\Gamma[1+\frac{\Delta}{2}]\Gamma[\frac{\Delta}{2}]\Gamma[\frac{\Delta-d}{2}]\Gamma[\frac{\Delta-d+2}{2}]}{2d\Gamma[2+\Delta]\Gamma[\Delta-\frac{d}{2}+1]}\frac{\eta_{ij}}{\rho^{\Delta}}, \tag{122}$$

we read

$$a_{\Delta,2}=c_{\Delta,2}N_{\Delta,2}\frac{\pi^{\frac{d-2}{2}}\Delta(\Delta-d+1)\Gamma[1+\frac{\Delta}{2}]\Gamma[\frac{\Delta}{2}]\Gamma[\frac{\Delta-d}{2}]\Gamma[\frac{\Delta-d+2}{2}]}{2d\Gamma[2+\Delta]\Gamma[\Delta-\frac{d}{2}+1]}. \tag{123}$$

Note for conserved current, we have

$$\Delta=d-1 \tag{124}$$

for spin 1 and

$$\Delta=d \tag{125}$$

for spin 2, we should redefine the charge to absorb the divergent Γ function.

E Generator of Modular Hamiltonian

When the region A is half space, the modular Hamiltonian is generated by

$$K = (x, t, 0, \cdots, 0). \tag{126}$$

Then

$$K^2 = -x^2 + t^2. \tag{127}$$

When the region A is a sphere with radius R whose center is origin, the modular Hamiltonian is

$$K = \frac{1}{2R}(R^2 - \vec{x}^2 - t^2, -2tx^i). \tag{128}$$

After coordinate transformation

$$t = \frac{\zeta - \bar{\zeta}}{2}R, x^i = \frac{\zeta + \bar{\zeta}}{2}R\omega^i \tag{129}$$

the metric becomes

$$ds^2 = R^2(d\zeta d\bar{\zeta} + \frac{(\zeta + \bar{\zeta})^2}{4}d\vec{\omega}^2). \tag{130}$$

The integration measure is[16]

$$d^d x = (\frac{R}{2})^d |\zeta + \bar{\zeta}|^{d-2} d\Omega_{d-2} d\zeta d\bar{\zeta} \tag{131}$$

We find

$$K^2 = -\frac{R^2}{4}(1 - \zeta^2)(1 - \bar{\zeta}^2). \tag{132}$$

References

[1] H.Diehl, S.Dietrich,"Field -theoretical approach to multicritical behavior near free surface", Phys.Rev.B24(1981)2878-2880.

[2] J.L.Cardy, "Conformal Invariance and Surface Critical Behavior", Nucl.Phys.B240(1984) 514-532.

[3] J.L.Cardy, "Boundary Conditions, Fusion Rules and the Verlinde Formula", Nucl.Phys.B324(1989)581.

[4] J.L.Cardy, D.C.Lewellen,"Bulk and Boundary operators in conformal field theory",Nucl.Phys.B570(2000)525-589.

[16] We have inserted a factor $\frac{1}{2}$ in the measure as the coordinates will cover the causal diamond of A twice.

[5] D.M. McAvity, H. Osborn, "Energy momentum tensor in conformal field theories near a boundary,"Nucl.Phys. B406 (1993) 655-680[hep-th/9302068].

[6] D.M. McAvity, H. Osborn, "Conformal field theories near a boundary in general dimensions",Nucl.Phys. B455 (1995) 522-576[cond-mat/9505127].

[7] Pedro Liendo, Leonardo Rastelli, Balt C. van Rees, "The Bootstrap Program for Boundary CFT$_d$, JHEP 1307 (2013) 113[1210.4258].

[8] M. Billó, M. Caselle, D. Gaiotto, F. Gliozzi, F. Gliozzi, R. Pellegrini,"Line defects in the 3d Ising model ", JHEP 1307 (2013) 055[1304.4110].

[9] Davide Gaiotto, Dalimil Mazac, Miguel F. Paulos, "Bootstrapping the 3d Ising twist defect", JHEP 1403 (2014) 100[1310.5078].

[10] Ferdinando Gliozzi, Pedro Liendo , Marco Meineri, Antonio Rago, "Boundary and Interface CFTs from the Conformal Bootstrap ",JHEP 1505 (2015) 036[1502.07217].

[11] Kristan Jensen, Andy O'Bannon, "Constraint on Defect and Boundary Renormalization Group Flows ", Phys.Rev.Lett. 116 (2016) no.9, 091601[1509.02160].

[12] Lorenzo Bianchi, Marco Meineri, Robert C. Myers, Michael Smolkin, "Rényi entropy and conformal defects", JHEP 1607 (2016) 076[1511.06713].

[13] Marco Billò, Vasco Goncalves, Edoardo Lauria, Marco Meineri, "Defects in conformal field theory ", JHEP 1604 (2016) 091[1601.02883].

[14] Abhijit Gadde, "Conformal constraints on defects", [1602.06354].

[15] Ferdinando Gliozzi, "Truncatable bootstrap equations in algebraic form and critical surface exponents", JHEP 1610 (2016) 037[1605.04175].

[16] Pedro Liendo, Carlo Meneghelli, "Bootstrap equations for SYM with defects", [1608.05126].

[17] Mark Srednicki, "Entropy and area," Phys.Rev.Lett. 71 (1993) 666-669 [hep-th/9303048].

[18] Christoph Holzhey, Finn Larsen , Frank Wilczek , "Geometric and renormalized entropy in conformal field theory ", Nucl.Phys. B424 (1994) 443-467 [hep-th/9403108].

[19] Shinsei Ryu, Tadashi Takayanagi, "Holographic derivation of entanglement entropy from AdS/CFT", Phys.Rev.Lett. 96 (2006) 181602 [hep-th/0603001].

[20] Shinsei Ryu, Tadashi Takayanagi, "Aspects of Holographic Entanglement Entropy", JHEP 0608 (2006) 045 [hep-th/0605073].

[21] Pasquale Calabrese , John L. Cardy ,"Entanglement entropy and quantum field theory", J.Stat.Mech. 0406 (2004) P06002 [hep-th/0405152].

[22] Pasquale Calabrese, John L. Cardy,"Entanglement entropy and quantum field theory: A Non-technical introduction",Int.J.Quant.Inf. 4 (2006) 429 [quant-ph/0505193].

[23] Anton Kapustin, "Wilson-'t Hooft operators in four-dimensional gauge theories and S-duality", Phys.Rev. D74 (2006) 025005[hep-th/0501015].

[24] Ling-Yan Hung, Robert C. Myers, Michael Smolkin,"Holographic Calculations of Renyi Entropy",JHEP 1112 (2011) 047[1110.1084].

[25] Ling-Yan Hung, Robert C. Myers, Michael Smolkin, "Twist operators in higher dimensions", JHEP 1410 (2014) 178[1407.6429].

[26] F.A. Dolan, H. Osborn, "Conformal four point functions and the operator product expansion ", Nucl.Phys. B599 (2001) 459-496[hep-th/0011040].

[27] F.A. Dolan, H. Osborn,"Conformal partial waves and the operator product expansion ", Nucl.Phys. B678 (2004) 491-507[hep-th/0309180].

[28] S. Ferrara and G. Parisi, "Conformal covariant correlation functions," Nucl.Phys. B42 (1972) 281-290.

[29] S. Ferrara, A. Grillo, and G. Parisi, "Nonequivalence between conformal covariant Wilson expansion in Euclidean and Minkowski space," Lett.Nuovo Cim. 5S2 (1972) 147-151.

[30] S. Ferrara, A. Grillo, G. Parisi, and R. Gatto, "The shadow operator formalism for conformal algebra. Vacuum expectation values and operator products," Lett.Nuovo Cim. 4S2 (1972) 115-120.

[31] S. Ferrara, A. F. Grillo, G. Parisi, and R. Gatto, ?Covariant expansion of the conformal four-point function," Nucl. Phys. B49 (1972) 77-98.

[32] David Simmons-Duffin, "Projectors, Shadows, and Conformal Blocks ", JHEP 1404 (2014) 146[1204.3894].

[33] Jan de Boer, Michal P. Heller, Robert C. Myers, Yasha Neiman,"Holographic de Sitter Geometry from Entanglement in Conformal Field Theory", Phys.Rev.Lett. 116 (2016) no.6, 061602 [1509.00113].

[34] Bartlomiej Czech, Lampros Lamprou, Samuel McCandlish, Benjamin Mosk, James Sully, "A Stereoscopic Look into the Bulk", JHEP 1607 (2016) 129[1604.03110].

[35] Jan de Boer, Felix M. Haehl, Michal P. Heller, Robert C. Myers, "Entanglement, holography and causal diamonds", JHEP 1608 (2016) 162 [1606.03307].

[36] G. Mack, "All Unitary Ray Representations Of The Conformal Group SU(2,2) With Positive Energy," Commun. Math. Phys. 55, 1 (1977).

[37] D.V. Fursaev, "Entanglement Renyi Entropies in Conformal Field Theories and Holography ", JHEP 1205 (2012) 080 [1201.1702].

[38] Aitor Lewkowycz, Eric Perlmutter, "Universality in the geometric dependence of Renyi entropy", JHEP 1501 (2015) 080[1407.8171].

[39] Matthijs Hogervorst, Hugh Osborn, Slava Rychkov, "Diagonal Limit for Conformal Blocks in d Dimensions", JHEP 1308 (2013) 014[1305.1321].

[40] Noburo Shiba, "Entanglement Entropy of Two Spheres ", JHEP 1207 (2012) 100[1201.4865].

[41] John Cardy, "Some results on the mutual information of disjoint regions in higher dimensions ", J.Phys. A46 (2013) 285402[1304.7985].

[42] M. E. X. Guimaraes, B. Linet, "Scalar Green's functions in an Euclidean space with a conical-type line singularity", Commun.Math.Phys.165(1994)297-310.

[43] Masahiro Nozaki, Tokiro Numasawa, Tadashi Takayanagi, "Quantum Entanglement of Local Operators in Conformal Field Theories", Phys.Rev.Lett. 112 (2014) 111602[1401.0539].

[44] Xi Dong, "The Gravity Dual of Renyi Entropy", Nature Commun. 7 (2016) 12472 [1601.06788].

[45] Srivatsan Balakrishnan, Souvik Dutta, Thomas Faulkner, "Gravitational dual of the Rényi twist displacement operator", [1607.06155].

[46] Lorenzo Bianchi, Shira Chapman, Xi Dong, Damián A. Galante,Marco Meineri, Robert C. Myers, "Shape Dependence of Holographic Rényi Entropy in General Dimensions", [1607.07418].